

REFINEMENTS OF THE CHERN-DOLD CHARACTER: COCYCLE ADDITIONS IN DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY

MARKUS UPMEIER

ABSTRACT. The Chern-Dold character of a cohomology theory E is a canonical transformation $E \rightarrow HV$ to ordinary cohomology. A spectrum representing E gives homotopy theoretic cocycles for E , while HV can be represented by singular cocycles. We construct a refinement of the Chern-Dold character to a transformation of the cocycle categories that takes the homotopical composition to the addition of singular cocycles. This is applied to construct additive structures at the level of differential cocycles for generalized differential cohomology.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Chern-Dold character (see [2]) is a natural transformation from an arbitrary generalized cohomology theory E to ordinary cohomology with coefficients in the graded coefficient vector space $V^* = E^*(S^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mathrm{ch}: E^*(X) \longrightarrow H^n(X; V) = \prod_{i+j=n} H^i(X; V^j) \quad (X \in \mathcal{Top}^*) \quad (1)$$

(cohomology, cochain groups, etc. for pointed spaces are always reduced.) Hence for $E = H\mathbb{Z}$ we get the standard map $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathbb{R})$. For topological K -theory, we get the ordinary Chern character $K^{0/1}(X) \rightarrow H^{\mathrm{ev/od}}(X)$.

Ordinary cohomology can be represented by the abelian group of singular cocycles $Z^n(X; V)$. These form the objects of a strict monoidal category of cocycles $\mathcal{Z}^n(X)$. Similarly, given a spectrum (E_n, ε_n) representing E (with homeomorphisms $\varepsilon_n^{\mathrm{adj}}: E_n \rightarrow \Omega E_{n+1}$, which may always be arranged), maps $X \rightarrow E_n$ give cocycles for generalized cohomology. Loop composition in either direction gives two binary operations, identifying E_n with $\Omega^2 E_{n+2}$. Endowed with these, the cocycles $\mathrm{Map}(X, E_n)$ for generalized cohomology form a 2-monoidal category (see Section 2), a more sophisticated algebraic object than an abelian group.

We shall construct a refined Chern-Dold character between the cocycle categories in such a way that it *preserves the algebraic structure* (strict addition of singular cocycles, loop composition):

Theorem 1. *For any generalized cohomology theory E and representing spectrum (E_n, ε_n) , there exists a natural family of 2-monoidal functors*

$$\mathrm{ch}_X: \mathrm{Map}(X, E_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^n(X). \quad (2)$$

On isomorphism classes of objects, the functors (2) reduce to (1).

The notation is established in Section 2 where we also review the theory of 2-monoidal categories. Theorem 1 is proven in Section 4.2 after having explained in

Section 3 that the construction of (2) passes through an intermediate step which mediates between the algebraic and homotopical point of view.

One motivation is the following application (Section 5): recall from [4] that a differential n -cocycle on a manifold M consists of a continuous map $c: M \rightarrow E_n$, a differential form $\omega \in \Omega^n(M; V)$, and a cochain $h \in C^{n-1}(M; V)$ satisfying

$$\delta h = \omega - c^* \iota_n.$$

(here, $\iota_n \in Z^n(E_n; V)$ denote fundamental cocycles, see Section 4.1.) A differential cocycle on $M \times [0, 1]$ is regarded as an equivalence between the two cocycles on the boundary. The Hopkins-Singer differential cohomology group $\hat{E}^n(M)$ is by definition the set of equivalence classes of differential n -cocycles on M .

Differential cocycles can also be organized into a category $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^n(M)$. A consequence of Theorem 1 is that these may be given 2-monoidal structures. Our main application is the following (nearly equivalent) statement:

Theorem 2. *For every choice of fundamental cocycles there exist reduced cochains $A_n \in C^{n-1}(E_n \times E_n; V)$ satisfying coherence relations (see Section 5) so that*

$$(c_1, \omega_1, h_1) + (c_2, \omega_2, h_2) = (\alpha_n(c_1, c_2), \omega_1 + \omega_2, h_1 + h_2 + (c_1, c_2)^* A_n) \quad (3)$$

gives an abelian group structure on the Hopkins-Singer differential extension \hat{E} .

In many cases it is important to have control of the algebraic structure at the level of differential cocycles. This is in sharp contrast to [4], where it is proven that the cohomology groups $\hat{E}^n(M)$ possess some abstract abelian group structure (they are identified as the homotopy groups of a spectrum whose structure maps are only abstractly chosen by a cofibrant replacement in a diagram model category, i.e., a choice of functorial sections): there is then no way of deciding which differential cocycle represents the sum.

2. THEORY OF 2-MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

The following is a special case of [5, Section 5] (or [1, 6.1]) where the units coincide:

Definition 3. A 2-monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \oplus, \ominus, I, \zeta)$ is a category \mathcal{C} with two monoidal structures \oplus, \ominus sharing a unit I and a natural ‘interchange’ isomorphism

$$\zeta_{A,B,C,D}: (A \ominus B) \oplus (C \ominus D) \rightarrow (A \oplus C) \ominus (B \oplus D). \quad (4)$$

We require $I \oplus I = I = I \ominus I$ which along with (4) shall endow both

$$\oplus: (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}, \ominus \times \ominus) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \ominus), \quad \ominus: (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}, \oplus \times \oplus) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \oplus) \quad (5)$$

with the structure of monoidal functors.

A 2-monoidal functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ has monoidal structures $F^\oplus: (\mathcal{C}, \oplus) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \oplus)$, $F^\ominus: (\mathcal{C}, \ominus) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \ominus)$ whose unit constraints $F^{\oplus, \ominus}(I) = I$ are the identity. We

require commutative diagrams for all objects A, B, C, D

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F((A \ominus B) \oplus (C \ominus D)) & \xrightarrow{F(\zeta^{\mathcal{C}})} & F((A \oplus C) \ominus (B \oplus D)) \\
F_{A \ominus B, C \ominus D}^{\oplus} \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{A \oplus C, B \oplus D}^{\ominus} \\
F(A \ominus B) \oplus F(C \ominus D) & & F(A \oplus C) \ominus F(B \oplus D) \\
F_{A, B}^{\ominus} \oplus F_{C, D}^{\ominus} \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{A, C}^{\oplus} \ominus F_{B, D}^{\oplus} \\
(FA \ominus FB) \oplus (FC \ominus FD) & \xrightarrow{\zeta^{\mathcal{D}}} & (FA \oplus FC) \ominus (FB \oplus FD).
\end{array} \tag{6}$$

Restricting to small categories \mathcal{C} , these definitions give a category 2Mon . We call F an *equivalence* if it is an equivalence of the underlying categories.

We assume familiarity with monoidal categories as presented in [7]. Equality of 2-monoidal functors $F = G$ means that both monoidal structures $F^{\oplus} = G^{\oplus}$, $F^{\ominus} = G^{\ominus}$ agree. F is called *strict* if both F^{\oplus}, F^{\ominus} are strict. Restricting to such functors gives the subcategory $2\text{Mon}_{\text{strict}}$. Denote by Cat (MonCat) the category of small (monoidal) categories.

We think of a functor $\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ as a (*natural*) *family of categories*. By a 2-monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} we mean a lift to a functor $\hat{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}$: for every $X \in \mathcal{J}$ we have 2-monoidal categories \mathcal{C}_X and every morphism $f \in \mathcal{J}(X, Y)$ gives a 2-monoidal functor $\mathcal{C}(f): \mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_Y$. A natural transformation $F: \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between two families $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}$ may be viewed as a (*natural*) *family of 2-monoidal functors*: for every $X \in \mathcal{J}$ we have a 2-monoidal functor $F_X: \mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$. Naturality means that for $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{J} we get a commutative diagram in 2Mon :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}_X & \xrightarrow{F_X} & \mathcal{D}_X \\
\mathcal{C}(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(f) \\
\mathcal{C}_Y & \xrightarrow{F_Y} & \mathcal{D}_Y
\end{array} \tag{7}$$

The motivating example for the theory of 2-monoidal categories is the following:

Example 4. The fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1 \Omega^2 X$ of the double loop space of a space $X \in \text{Top}^*$ has monoidal structures by vertical and horizontal composition:

$$f \oplus g(s, t) = \begin{cases} f(2s, t), & s \leq 1/2, \\ g(2s - 1, t), & s \geq 1/2, \end{cases} \quad f \ominus g(s, t) = \begin{cases} f(s, 2t), & t \leq 1/2, \\ g(s, 2t - 1), & t \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

The interchange ζ is the identity and the common unit I is the base-point map. Since maps $X \rightarrow Y$ preserve \oplus, \ominus, I we get a functor $\Pi_1 \Omega^2: \text{Top}^* \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}_{\text{strict}}$.

There is a *unique* way to transport a 2-monoidal structure along an isomorphism $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of categories, turning F into a strict 2-monoidal functor (so $X \oplus Y = F^{-1}(FX \oplus FY)$ and $F\zeta^{\mathcal{C}} = \zeta^{\mathcal{D}}$). As usual, uniqueness implies functoriality: any functor $\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}$ may be uniquely lifted along the forgetful functor to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}$, making every component $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$ of the transformation a strict 2-monoidal functor.

Definition 5. For $X \in \mathcal{Top}^*$, let $\text{Map}(X, E_n) = \Pi_1 E_n^X$ be the fundamental groupoid of the pointed mapping space (pointed maps $X \rightarrow E_n$ and homotopies). The structure maps induce a natural family of isomorphisms $\text{Map}(X, E_n) \cong \Pi_1 \Omega^2 E_{n+2}^X$ to 2-monoidal categories from Example 4. Transporting, we get

$$\text{Map}(-, E_n): \mathcal{Top}^* \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}.$$

(Recall that (E_n, ε_n) is a spectrum representing the cohomology theory E .)

Examples 6. (i) Let A be a topological or simplicial abelian group. On $\Pi_1 A$ we take $\oplus = \ominus = +$, $I = 0$, and the identity as the interchange. Since $+$ and 0 are preserved by group homomorphisms, we get a functor $\Pi_1: s\mathcal{Ab} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}_{\text{strict}}$.

(ii) Any monoidal category (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) may be regarded as being 2-monoidal by taking $\oplus = \ominus = \otimes$ and $\zeta = \text{id}$. This yields a functor $\text{MonCat} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}$.

(iii) For every cochain complex (C^*, d) and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a strict monoidal category \mathcal{Z}^n of n -cocycles: objects are $x \in C^n$ with $dx = 0$. A morphism $x \rightarrow y$ consists of an $\text{im}(d)$ -coset of elements $u \in C^{n-1}$ with $du = x - y$. Composition and the monoidal structure are both given by addition. Combined with (ii) we obtain for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ a functor $\mathcal{Z}^n: \mathcal{Ch} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}_{\text{strict}}$ on cochain complexes.

Recall $C^*(X; V) = \prod_{i+j=*} C^i(X; V^j)$ for a graded vector space V^* . Being fixed in our discussion as $V = E^*(S^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, we will simply write $C^*(X) = C^*(X; V)$. Similarly, we shall write $Z^*(X) = Z^*(X; V)$ for the singular cocycles of X with coefficients in V . For chains groups $C_*(X)$, this convention is *not* adopted.

Definition 7. The reduced cochain complex $C^*(-; V)$ from \mathcal{Top}^* to \mathcal{Ch} composed with (iii) gives the functor $\mathcal{Z}^n: \mathcal{Top}^* \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}_{\text{strict}}$.

The proofs of the following two propositions are given in Appendix A.

Proposition 8. Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$ be 2-monoidal categories and suppose $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ are (ordinary) functors of the underlying categories. Let $H = G \circ F$.

- (i) If F is an equivalence and F, H have 2-monoidal structures, then G has a unique 2-monoidal structure such that $H = G \circ F$ as 2-monoidal functors.
- (ii) If G is an equivalence and G, H have 2-monoidal structures, then F has a unique 2-monoidal structure such that $H = G \circ F$ as 2-monoidal functors.

Proposition 9. Let $\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$, $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}$ be functors. Suppose $F: \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a nat. transformation of Cat -valued functors whose components are equivalences

$$F_X: \mathcal{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_X, \quad X \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Then we may lift \mathcal{C} to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}$ and promote F to a natural family of 2-monoidal equivalences $\hat{F}_X: \hat{\mathcal{C}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$ (i.e., a natural transformation $F: \hat{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$).

Of course, this also holds in the dual situation $\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 2\text{Mon}$, $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$. We emphasize that the lift $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is *not unique*, but can still be *chosen* functorially.

Example 10. On the category \mathcal{Kan}^* of pointed Kan complexes consider

$$\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{Kan}^* \xrightarrow{\Pi_1 \Omega^2} \mathcal{Cat}, \quad \mathcal{D}: \mathcal{Kan}^* \xrightarrow{|\cdot|} \mathcal{Top}^* \xrightarrow{\Pi_1 \Omega^2} 2\mathcal{Mon}.$$

(F_X is induced by geometric realization of points and paths in X .) Hence the fundamental groupoids $\Pi_1 \Omega^2 K$ for pointed Kan complexes (see [3]) can be given *functorial* 2-monoidal structures $\Pi_1 \Omega^2: \mathcal{Kan}^* \rightarrow 2\mathcal{Mon}$.

One of the main results of [5, Section 5] (or see [1, Proposition 6.11]) is that there is an equivalence from $2\mathcal{Mon}$ to braided monoidal categories:

Theorem 11. *From a 2-monoidal structure \mathcal{C} one can construct braidings on (\mathcal{C}, \oplus) and (\mathcal{C}, \ominus) . The identity functor may be viewed as a braided monoidal functor $e: (\mathcal{C}, \oplus) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \ominus)$ with unit constraint $e_I = \text{id}$ and structure maps*

$$e_{A,B}^{\oplus, \ominus}: A \oplus B \xleftarrow{\rho^{\ominus} \oplus \lambda^{\ominus}} (A \ominus I) \oplus (I \ominus B) \cong (A \oplus I) \ominus (I \oplus B) \xrightarrow{\rho^{\oplus} \ominus \lambda^{\oplus}} A \ominus B. \quad (8)$$

(here $\lambda^{\oplus}, \rho^{\oplus}$ are the unit constraints on (\mathcal{C}, \oplus) and similarly for \ominus .)

The double loop space $\Omega^2 A$ of a topological abelian group A (base-point 0) is again an abelian group. Example 4 and Example 6 (i) give two different ways of viewing the fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1 \Omega^2 A$ as a 2-monoidal category.

Lemma 12. *For every topological abelian group A , the identity functor may be endowed canonically with the structure of a 2-monoidal functor:*

$$(\Pi_1 \Omega^2 A, \oplus, \ominus, \text{const}_0, \text{id}) \longrightarrow (\Pi_1 \Omega^2 A, +, +, 0, \text{id}). \quad (9)$$

Proof. Since the operations \oplus and $+$ are mutually distributive, $(\Pi_1 \Omega^2 A, \oplus, +, \text{id})$ defines a 2-monoidal category and Theorem 11 gives a canonical monoidal structure $e_{f,g}^{\oplus, +}$ on the identity functor. Similarly, we get a monoidal structure $e_{f,g}^{\ominus, +}$. It remains to show the commutativity of (6). Suppose $\gamma, \phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$ satisfy $\gamma \leq \phi$ componentwise. For $f \in \Pi_1 \Omega^2 A$ define a homotopy that places f into the rectangles bounded by γ and ϕ . Viewing f as a map $[0, 1]^2 \rightarrow A$ taking the boundary to zero and extended to the plane by zero, we may write

$$\{\gamma, \phi\}_f(t, x, y) = f \left(\frac{x - \gamma_1(t)}{\phi_1(t) - \gamma_1(t)}, \frac{y - \gamma_2(t)}{\phi_2(t) - \gamma_2(t)} \right).$$

A path homotopy $\gamma^s \leq \phi^s$ (parameter s) gives a homotopy $\{\gamma^s, \phi^s\}_f$ of homotopies.

For paths $u, v: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $u(1) = v(0)$ let $u \star v$ denote ‘ u followed by v ’. Write $\alpha(t) = (1+t)/2$, $\beta(t) = (1-t)/2$ and $c(t) = c$ for fixed $c \in [0, 1]$. Equation (8) defines $e_{f,g}^{\oplus, +}$ as $\{(0, 0), (\alpha, 1)\}_f + \{(\beta, 0), (1, 1)\}_g$. Similarly, $e_{f,g}^{\ominus, +} = \{(0, 0), (1, \alpha)\}_f + \{(0, \beta), (1, 1)\}_g$. Performing the composition,

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{f,g}^{\oplus, +} + e_{h,j}^{\oplus, +})e_{f \oplus g, h \oplus j}^{\oplus, +} &= \{(0, 0), (\alpha, 1/2) \star (1, \alpha)\}_f + \{(0, 1/2) \star (0, \beta), (\alpha, 1) \star (1, 1)\}_g \\ &\quad + \{(\beta, 0) \star (0, 0), (1, 1/2) \star (1, \alpha)\}_h + \{(\beta, 1/2) \star (0, \beta), (1, 1)\}_j, \\ (e_{f,h}^{\oplus, +} + e_{g,j}^{\oplus, +})e_{f \oplus h, g \oplus j}^{\oplus, +} &= \{(0, 0), (1/2, \alpha) \star (\alpha, 1)\}_f + \{(0, \beta) \star (0, 0), (1/2, 1) \star (\alpha, 1)\}_g \\ &\quad + \{(1/2, 0) \star (\beta, 0), (1, \alpha) \star (1, 1)\}_h + \{(1/2, \beta) \star (\beta, 0), (1, 1)\}_j. \end{aligned}$$

These are homotopic, since any two paths in $[0, 1]^2$ are homotopic by a linear homotopy, so $\{\gamma, \phi\}_f \simeq \{\gamma', \phi'\}_f$ for any $\gamma \leq \phi, \gamma' \leq \phi'$ and any f . \square

3. THE COCYCLE SPECTRUM OF A SPACE

In this section, we shall construct an auxiliary object which mediates between the algebraic and homotopical point of view. We assume familiarity with simplicial sets (see [3]). Recall that the Moore complex $C(K)_*$ of a pointed simplicial set K has the group $\mathbb{Z}K_n/\mathbb{Z}\text{pt}$ as n -chains. We adopt the standard notation $C(X) = C(\text{sing } X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{Top}^*$. Let L_+ denote L with a disjoint base-point.

Definition 13. The n -th space of the *cocycle spectrum* is the simplicial vector space of chain maps ($V[-n]_* = V^{n-*}$ for $* \geq 0$ with zero differential is viewed as an object of the category $\mathcal{Ch}_{\geq 0}$ of non-negative chain complexes):

$$Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) = \mathcal{Ch}_{\geq 0}(C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet), V[-n]_*) = \prod_{i+j=n} Z^i(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet; V^j) \quad (10)$$

Being fixed, we omit V from the notation on the left of (10).

The spaces $Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet)$ are mapping spaces $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{Ch}}(C(K), V[-n])$ in the ∞ -category of non-negative chain complexes [8, Section 13], so the cocycle spectrum may be regarded as a function spectrum construction. Weakly equivalent spaces were introduced in [4], but we will see below that it is crucial to work with (10).

Recall the *Alexander-Whitney* and *Eilenberg-Zilber* chain maps

$$EZ: C(K) \otimes C(L) \rightarrow C(K \wedge L), \quad AW: C(K \wedge L) \rightarrow C(K) \otimes C(L).$$

The *slant product* of a cochain u with a chain e is the cochain u/e defined by $(u/e)(d) = u(EZ(d \otimes e))$. Since EZ is a chain map, we get a Stokes formula

$$(\delta u/e) = \delta(u/e) - (-1)^{|u|+|e|} u/\partial e. \quad (11)$$

Let $[\Delta_+^i] \in C_i(\Delta_+^i)$ and $[S^1] \in C_1(S^1)$ denote the canonical chains ($S^1 = \Delta^1/\partial\Delta^1$).

We take from [4, D.13] the isomorphism ‘slant product along the i -chain $[\Delta_+^i]$ ’

$$\pi_i(Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet), 0) \cong H^{n-i}(K; V), \quad f \in Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \mapsto f/[\Delta_+^i]. \quad (12)$$

(this fact is also proven in [10, Lemma 5.7].)

Lemma 14. *There is a canonical isomorphism of simplicial sets*

$$\Omega Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \cong Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet \wedge S^1). \quad (13)$$

Proof. The usual subdivision of the prism $h_i: \Delta^{k+1} \rightarrow \Delta^k \times \Delta^1$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$ [3, p.17] leads to a coequalizer diagram in pointed simplicial sets Set_Δ^* :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K \wedge \Delta_+^k & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge d^{j+1}} & K \wedge \Delta_+^{k+1} & & \\ \text{in}_j \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{in}_j & & \\ \bigvee_{j=-1}^k K \wedge \Delta_+^k & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bigvee_{j=0}^k K \wedge \Delta_+^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{h_0 \vee \dots \vee h_k} & K \wedge \Delta_+^k \wedge S^1 \\ \text{in}_j \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{in}_{j+1} & & \\ K \wedge \Delta_+^k & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge d^{j+1}} & K \wedge \Delta_+^{k+1} & & \end{array}$$

(letting in_l be the constant base-point maps if $l = -1, k+1$.) The reduced Moore complex $C: \text{Set}_\Delta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}_{\geq 0}$ is a left-adjoint and therefore preserves colimits. Hence

a k -simplex $f \in Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^k \wedge S^1)$ is a chain map defined on the coequalizer of

$$\bigoplus_{j=-1}^k C(K \wedge \Delta_+^k) \rightrightarrows \bigoplus_{j=0}^k C(K \wedge \Delta_+^{k+1}).$$

This amounts to a sequence of maps $f_i \in Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^{k+1})$ which are compatible exactly so as to represent a k -simplex of the loop space $\Omega Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet)$ (a k -simplex of a simplicial loop space ΩL may be described as a sequence of $(k+1)$ -simplices f_0, \dots, f_k with $d_i f_i = d_i f_{i-1}$ and $d_0 f_0 = d_{k+1} f_k = *$). \square

Definition 15. Letting ‘incl’ be given by the canonical 1-chain $[S^1]$, consider

$$C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{incl}} C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \otimes C(S^1) \xrightarrow{EZ} C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet \wedge S^1). \quad (14)$$

Combining that $- \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1]$ is the shift $[-1]$ with Lemma 14, pullback along (14) gives the *costructure maps* (‘co’ because they map away from the loop space)

$$\psi: \Omega Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) = Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet \wedge S^1) \rightarrow Z^{n-1}(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet). \quad (15)$$

Proposition 16. *The costructure maps ψ are natural weak equivalences.*

Proof. This follows from the standard fact that the suspension may be expressed as the slant product along S^1 : we show that we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_k Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet \wedge S^1) & \xrightarrow{\pi_k(\psi)} & \pi_k Z^{n-1}(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \\ (12) \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow (12) \\ H^{n-k}(K \wedge S^1) & \xrightarrow[\text{susp}]{\cong} & H^{n-k-1}(K). \end{array}$$

Explicitly, for $f \in \pi_k Z^n(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet \wedge S^1)$ we need to compare the two assignments on chains $\sigma \in C_{n-k-1}(K)$ given by

$$f \circ EZ(\Delta^k \otimes EZ(\sigma \otimes S^1)), \quad f \circ EZ(EZ(\Delta^k \otimes \sigma) \otimes S^1). \quad (16)$$

Since EZ is coassociative up to chain homotopy, we have a homomorphism h so that the difference is (using that f is a chain map and V_* has zero differential)

$$f \circ (\partial h \sigma + h \partial \sigma) = \partial f(h \sigma) + f h \partial \sigma = 0 + \delta(fh) \sigma$$

Therefore, both elements in (16) represent the same cohomology class. \square

Definition 17. By the costructure maps on $\text{sing}(E_n^X)$ we mean the isomorphisms

$$\Omega \text{sing}(E_{n+1}^X) \cong \text{sing}(\Omega E_{n+1}^X) \xrightarrow{\text{sing}(\varepsilon_n^{\text{adj}})^{-1}} \text{sing}(E_n^X). \quad (17)$$

4. THE 2-MONOIDAL CHERN-DOLD TRANSFORMATION

Our construction of (2) will factor into three 2-monoidal functors

$$\text{ch}_X: \text{Map}(X, E_n) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}_{\oplus, \ominus}^n(X) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{Z}_+^n(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{Z}^n(X). \quad (18)$$

We begin by explaining the new categories in (18). By Example 6 (i), addition gives a strict 2-monoidal structure on the fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1 Z^n(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet)$ that we denote by $\mathcal{Z}_+^n(X)$. Hence the objects of $\mathcal{Z}_+^n(X)$ are singular cocycles $Z^n(X)$ while the morphisms $h: d_1 h \rightarrow d_0 h$ are cocycles $h \in Z^n(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^1)$.

Another way to get a 2-monoidal structure on the same category is to note that the costructure map ψ induces equivalences of categories

$$\Pi_1 \Omega^2 Z^{n-2}(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_1 Z^n(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet). \quad (19)$$

The left-hand side has a natural 2-monoidal structure by Example 10. According to Proposition 9, we may *choose* natural 2-monoidal structures $\mathcal{Z}_{\Phi, \Theta}^n(X)$ on the right-hand categories, making (19) a natural 2-monoidal equivalence.

4.1. Fundamental Cocycles. Recall that *fundamental cocycles* are a family of singular cocycles $\iota_n \in Z^n(E_n; V)$ implementing the Chern-Dold character via

$$\text{ch}(f) = f^*[\iota_n], \quad \forall f \in E^n(X) = [X, E_n].$$

By [4, 4.8], there is a choice satisfying $\varepsilon_n^* \iota_{n+1}/[S^1] = \iota_n$, where $\varepsilon_n: E_n \wedge S^1 \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ are the structure maps (a more detailed proof of this assertion may be found in [10, Section 3.1.2]). Stated differently, we have chain maps

$$\iota_n: C(E_n) = C(\text{sing } E_n) \rightarrow V[-n]$$

fitting into commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(E_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1] & \xrightarrow{EZ(\text{id} \otimes \text{incl})} & C(E_n \wedge S^1) \xrightarrow{C(\varepsilon_n)} C(E_{n+1}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \iota_{n+1} \\ C(E_n)[-1] & \xrightarrow{\iota_n[-1]} & V[-n-1]. \end{array} \quad (20)$$

Definition 18. We define simplicial maps $A_n: \text{sing}(E_n^X) \rightarrow Z^n(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet)$ by

$$A_n(f): C(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^k) \xrightarrow{C(f^{\text{adj}})} C(\text{sing } E_n) \xrightarrow{\iota_n} V[-n],$$

where, for a k -simplex $f: X \wedge |\Delta_+^k| \rightarrow E_n$ of $\text{sing}(E_n^X)$, we use the unit to write

$$f^{\text{adj}}: \text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^k \rightarrow \text{sing } X \wedge \text{sing } |\Delta_+^k| = \text{sing}(X \wedge |\Delta_+^k|) \xrightarrow{\text{sing } f} \text{sing } E_n.$$

Lemma 19. *The maps A_n commute with the costructure maps:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sing}(E_n^X) & \xrightarrow{A_n} & Z^n(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \\ (17) \uparrow & & \uparrow (15) \\ \Omega \text{sing}(E_{n+1}^X) & \xrightarrow{\Omega A_{n+1}} & \Omega Z^{n+1}(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet). \end{array}$$

Proof. For $f: X \wedge |\Delta_+^\bullet| \wedge S^1 \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ let $g: X \wedge |\Delta_+^\bullet| \rightarrow E_n$ be the map $(\varepsilon_n^{\text{adj}})^{-1} f$ with $\varepsilon_n \circ (g \wedge 1_{S^1}) = f$. If we write $K = \text{sing } X$, the counit gives a simplicial map

$$\varphi(f): K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet \wedge S^1 \rightarrow \text{sing}(X \wedge |\Delta_+^\bullet| \wedge S^1) \rightarrow \text{sing } E_{n+1}.$$

Unwinding the definitions of (15), (17), and A , we see that we need to compare

$$\begin{aligned} C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1] & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{incl}} C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \otimes C(S^1) \xrightarrow{EZ} C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet \wedge S^1) \\ & \xrightarrow{\varphi(f)_*} C(E_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{n+1}} V[-n-1] \end{aligned}$$

with the shift by one of

$$C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\varphi(g)_*} C(E_n) \xrightarrow{\iota_n} V[-n].$$

But these maps appear as the outer maps in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1] & \xrightarrow{g_* \otimes 1} & C(E_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1] & \xrightarrow{\iota_n \otimes 1} & V[-n] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1] \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \text{incl} & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \text{incl} & & \uparrow \iota_{n+1} \\
C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet) \otimes C(S^1) & \xrightarrow{g_* \otimes 1} & C(E_n) \otimes C(S^1) & & \\
\downarrow EZ & & \downarrow EZ & & \\
C(K \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet \wedge S^1) & \xrightarrow{(g \wedge 1)_*} & C(E_n \wedge S^1) & \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon_n)_*} & C(E_{n+1}) \\
& \searrow f_* & & &
\end{array} \quad (20)$$

which commutes by naturality of EZ and the compatibility (20). \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1. The proof is divided into three steps:

Lemma 20. *The maps A_n induce a natural family of 2-monoidal functors*

$$\Pi_1 A_n = \alpha_X : \text{Map}(X, E_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\oplus, \ominus}^n(X).$$

Proof. Lemma 19 asserts that the diagram of ordinary categories underlying

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Map}(X, E_n) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} & \mathcal{Z}_{\oplus, \ominus}^n(X) \\
\uparrow \cong & & \uparrow (19) \\
\Pi_1 \Omega^2 E_{n-2}^X & \xrightarrow{\Pi_1 \Omega^2(A_{n-2})} & \Pi_1 \Omega^2 Z^{n-2}(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet)
\end{array}$$

commutes. On the bottom is the 2-monoidal functor $\Pi_1 \Omega^2$ from Example 10 and the vertical functors are 2-monoidal by definition of the 2-monoidal structure on the categories upstairs. Proposition 8 (i) states that there is a unique way to put a 2-monoidal structure α_X on $\Pi_1 A$ so as make this diagram commute in 2Mon . Uniqueness allows us to conclude the naturality (in X) of this structure from the naturality of the 2-monoidal structure on the other arrows. \square

Lemma 21. *The identity functor $\Pi_1 Z^n(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet)$ has a unique (hence natural) 2-monoidal structure β_X making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Z}_{\oplus, \ominus}^n(X) & \xrightarrow{\beta_X} & \mathcal{Z}_+^n(X) \\
\sim \uparrow (19) & & \uparrow \Pi_1(\psi \Omega \psi) \\
(\Pi_1 \Omega^2 Z^{n-2}(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet), \oplus, \ominus) & \xrightarrow{(9)} & (\Pi_1 \Omega^2 Z^{n-2}(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^\bullet), +, +)
\end{array}$$

commute as a diagram of 2-monoidal categories and functors.

The right vertical map is 2-monoidal since ψ is linear. The proof of Lemma 21 is now immediate from Proposition 8 (i).

Both categories $\mathcal{Z}_+^n(X)$, $\mathcal{Z}^n(X)$ have the same objects $Z^n(X)$ and we let γ_X be the identity on objects. To a morphism $f \in Z^n(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^1)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_+^n(X)$ from $d_1 f$ to $d_0 f$ we assign the class of the cochain $f/[\Delta^1] \in C^{n-1}(X; V)/\text{im}(\delta)$.

Lemma 22. $\gamma_X : \mathcal{Z}_+^n(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^n(X)$ is a well-defined strict 2-monoidal functor.

Proof. In the fundamental groupoid, a composition $f \circ g = h$ is ‘witnessed’ by a 2-simplex $\sigma \in Z^n(\text{sing } X \wedge \Delta_+^2)$, meaning $\partial\sigma = g - h + f$. Hence (11) implies that

$$(-1)^n \delta(\sigma/[\Delta^2]) = \sigma/\partial[\Delta^2] = g/[\Delta^1] - h/[\Delta^1] + f/[\Delta^1]$$

is a coboundary, which proves that γ_X is a functor. To show that γ_X is well-defined, let σ be a homotopy from $d_0\sigma = f$ to $d_1\sigma = f'$ with $d_2\sigma = 0$. Then $(-1)^n \delta(\sigma/[\Delta^2]) = f/[\Delta^1] - f'/[\Delta^1] + 0$ exhibits the required coboundary. Since taking slant products is linear, γ_X is strict 2-monoidal. \square

Combining Lemmas 20, 21, 22, we define ch_X to be the composite 2-monoidal functor $\gamma_X \beta_X \alpha_X$. Explicitly, ch_X is given on objects and morphisms as follows:

$$\text{ch}_X : \text{Map}(X, E_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^n(X), \quad \begin{cases} \text{objects } f: & \text{ch}(f) = f^* \iota_n, \\ \text{morphisms } H: f \simeq g: & \text{ch}(H) = H^* \iota_n / [\Delta^1]. \end{cases}$$

In particular, ch_X recovers (1) on isomorphism classes of objects. $\alpha_X, \beta_X, \gamma_X$ are natural in X , so this holds for ch_X , too. This completes the proof. \square

5. APPLICATION TO DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY

We begin by unravelling parts of Theorem 1 into more elementary form. As shown in [5], there is an equivalence $2\text{Mon} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_{\text{braid}}$ to braided monoidal categories. Hence we regard $\text{Map}(X, E_n)$ as having just a single monoidal structure \oplus and a natural braid (given by Theorem 11) and the functors ch_X from Theorem 1 as having a natural braided monoidal structure s .

Fix the standard homotopies showing that $\pi_0 \Omega^2 E_{n+2}$ is an abelian group (so the associator $a = a_{\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2, \text{pr}_3}$ in $\text{Map}(E_n^{\times 3}, E_n)$, braid $s = s_{\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2}$ in $\text{Map}(E_n^{\times 2}, E_n)$, and unit constraint $r = r_{\text{id}}$ in $\text{Map}(E_n, E_n)$):

$$\begin{aligned} a : E_n^{\times 3} \times I &\rightarrow E_n, & \alpha_n \circ (\alpha_n \times \text{id}) &\simeq \alpha_n \circ (\text{id} \times \alpha_n), \\ s : E_n^{\times 2} \times I &\rightarrow E_n, & \alpha_n \circ \text{flip} &\simeq \alpha_n, \\ r : E_n \times I &\rightarrow E_n, & \alpha_n \circ (\text{id}, \text{const}) &\simeq \text{id}. \end{aligned}$$

The monoidal structure \oplus was induced by horizontal concatenation of loops:

$$\alpha_n : E_n \times E_n \approx \Omega^2 E_{n+2} \times \Omega^2 E_{n+2} \rightarrow \Omega^2 E_{n+2} \approx E_n.$$

Then (either by direct inspection or using the naturality in X), the associativity and unit constraints a, r as well as the braid s on the categories $\text{Map}(X, E_n)$ are given by post-composition with the above homotopies.

Theorem 23. *There exist reduced cochains $A_n \in C^{n-1}(E_n \times E_n; V)$ satisfying*

$$\delta A_n = \text{pr}_1^* \iota_n + \text{pr}_2^* \iota_n - \alpha_n^* \iota_n \quad (21)$$

and coherent in the sense that (\equiv means ‘up to coboundary’)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{12}^* A_n + (\alpha_n \times 1)^* A_n &\equiv \text{pr}_{23}^* A_n + (1 \times \alpha_n)^* A_n + \text{ch}(a), & \text{associative} \\ \text{flip}^* A_n &\equiv A_n + \text{ch}(s), & \text{commutative} \\ (\text{id}_{E_n}, \text{const})^* A_n &\equiv \text{ch}(r). & \text{unit} \end{aligned}$$

(Recall that $\text{ch}(h) = h^* \iota_n / [0, 1] = \int_0^1 h^* \iota_n$ for morphisms/homotopies h .)

includes morphisms relating $\oplus, +$; that is, elements $\text{ch}_{c,d}^\oplus \in C^{n-1}(X)/\text{im}(\delta)$ with

$$\delta \text{ch}_{c,d}^\oplus = \text{ch}(f \oplus g) - \text{ch}(f) - \text{ch}(g). \quad (22)$$

$$\delta \text{ch}_{c,d}^{\oplus} = \text{ch}(f \oplus g) - \text{ch}(f) - \text{ch}(g). \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{Map}(X, E_n), \oplus) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}} & (\mathcal{Z}^n(X), +) \\ \mathrm{Map}(f, E_n) \uparrow & & \uparrow \mathcal{Z}^n(f) \\ (\mathrm{Map}(Y, E_n), \oplus) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}} & (\mathcal{Z}^n(Y), +) \end{array}$$
$$f^* \mathrm{ch}_{c,d}^\oplus = \mathrm{ch}_{f^*c, f^*d}^\oplus \quad \text{in} \quad C^{n-1}(X)/\mathrm{im}(\delta), \quad c, d: Y \rightarrow E_n. \quad (23)$$
$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{ch}((f \oplus g) \oplus h) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}_{f \oplus g, h}} \mathrm{ch}(f \oplus g) + \mathrm{ch}(h) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}_{f, g}} (\mathrm{ch}(f) + \mathrm{ch}(g)) + \mathrm{ch}(h) \\
\mathrm{ch}(a) \downarrow & & \parallel \\
\mathrm{ch}(f \oplus (g \oplus h)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}_{f, g \oplus h}} \mathrm{ch}(f) + \mathrm{ch}(g \oplus h) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}_{g, h}} \mathrm{ch}(f) + (\mathrm{ch}(g) + \mathrm{ch}(h)). \\
\\
\mathrm{ch}(f) + \mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{const}) & \equiv \mathrm{ch}(f) + 0 & \mathrm{ch}(f) + \mathrm{ch}(g) \equiv \mathrm{ch}(g) + \mathrm{ch}(f) \\
\mathrm{ch}_{f, \mathrm{const}}^{\oplus} \uparrow & \parallel & \mathrm{ch}_{f, g} \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \mathrm{ch}_{g, f} \\
\mathrm{ch}(f \oplus \mathrm{const}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}(r)} \mathrm{ch}(f) & \mathrm{ch}(f \oplus g) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}(s)} \mathrm{ch}(g \oplus f)
\end{array}$$
$$A_n = \text{ch}_{\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2}^\oplus. \quad (24)$$
$$\mathrm{ch}_{g,h}^\oplus + \mathrm{ch}_{f,g\oplus h}^\oplus + \mathrm{ch}(a) \equiv \mathrm{ch}_{f,g}^\oplus + \mathrm{ch}_{f\oplus g,h}^\oplus \quad \text{in } C^{m-1}(X)/\mathrm{im}(\delta). \quad (25)$$
$$\begin{aligned} f &= (1 \times \alpha_n)^* \text{pr}_1, g \oplus h = (1 \times \alpha_n)^* \text{pr}_2 \Rightarrow \text{ch}_{f, g \oplus h}^\oplus \equiv (1 \times \alpha_n)^* \text{ch}_{\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2}^\oplus, \\ g &= \text{pr}_{23}^* \text{pr}_1, h = \text{pr}_{23}^* \text{pr}_2 \Rightarrow \text{ch}_{g, h}^\oplus \equiv \text{pr}_{23}^* \text{ch}_{\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2}^\oplus, \\ f \oplus g &= (\alpha_n \times 1)^* \text{pr}_1, h = (\alpha \times 1)^* \text{pr}_2 \Rightarrow \text{ch}_{f \oplus g, h}^\oplus \equiv (\alpha_n \times 1)^* \text{ch}_{\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2}^\oplus, \\ f &= \text{pr}_{12}^* \text{pr}_1, g = \text{pr}_{12}^* \text{pr}_2 \Rightarrow \text{ch}_{f, g}^\oplus \equiv \text{pr}_{12}^* \text{ch}_{\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2}^\oplus. \end{aligned}$$
$$\mathrm{pr}_{23}^* A_n + (1 \times \alpha_n)^* A_n + \mathrm{ch}(a) \equiv \mathrm{pr}_{12}^* A_n + (\alpha_n \times 1)^* A_n$$
$$\mathrm{ch}(r) \equiv \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{const}}^\oplus \stackrel{(23)}{=} (\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{const})^* \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2} = (\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{const})^* A_n$$
$$\mathrm{flip}^* A_n + \mathrm{ch}(s) \equiv \mathrm{flip}^* \mathrm{ch}_{f,g} + \mathrm{ch}(s) \equiv \mathrm{ch}_{g,f} + \mathrm{ch}(s) \equiv \mathrm{ch}_{f,g} = A_n \quad \square$$

Theorem 23 contains exactly the coherence conditions needed to prove that (3) gives an abelian group structure. The key observation is (see [10, 3.10, 3.13]):

Proposition 24. (i) *Given a homotopy $C: c_0 \simeq c_1$ of maps, a form $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^n(M; V)$, and cochain $h \in C^{n-1}(M; V)$ with $\delta h = \omega - c_0^* \iota_n$, we have an equivalence*

$$(c_0, \omega, h) \sim (c_1, \omega, h - \text{ch}(C)).$$

(ii) *For a cocycle (c, ω, h) and $g \in C^{n-2}(M; V)$ we have $(c, \omega, h) \sim (c, \omega, h + \delta g)$.*

5.1. Proof of Theorem 2. Applying part (i) to the homotopies a, r, s above and then part (ii) to the coherence equations in Theorem 23 shows that (3) descends to an associative, unital, and commutative operation on equivalence classes.

It remains to show that we have inverses. Pick maps $\nu_n: E_n \rightarrow E_n$ representing negation in E -cohomology and a homotopy $h: \text{id}_n \oplus \nu_n = \alpha_n(\text{id}_n, \nu_n) \simeq \text{const}$. For

$$N_n = \text{ch}(h) - (\text{id}, \nu_n)^* A_n$$

we have $\delta N_n = -\iota_n - \nu_n \iota_n$. Applying Proposition 24 to the homotopy h then shows that $(c, \omega, h) + (\nu_n \circ c, -\omega, -h + c^* N_n)$ is equivalent to zero. \square

APPENDIX A.

From [6] (or see [10, Appendix A]) we recall the following well-known fact:

Theorem 25 (Doctrinal Adjunction). *Suppose $(F, G, \varepsilon, \eta)$ is an adjoint equivalence in which $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a monoidal functor. Then there exists a unique monoidal structure on G that makes $(F, G, \varepsilon, \eta)$ a monoidal adjoint equivalence.*

Lemma 26. *Suppose $G \circ F = H$ are functors, where F, H are monoidal and F is an equivalence. There exists a unique monoidal structure on G so that $G \circ F = H$ as monoidal functors. (similarly, if G is an equivalence, G, H monoidal.)*

Proof. If a monoidal structure on G exists, we must have $H_{C_1, C_2} = G(F_{C_1, C_2}) \circ G_{FC_1, FC_2}$ and $H_1 = G(F_1) \circ G_1$. Hence G_{E_1, E_2} is determined on the image of F . For general E_1, E_2 pick isomorphisms $\varphi_i: E_i \rightarrow FC_i$. Naturality gives $G_{FC_1, FC_2} \circ (G\varphi_1 \otimes G\varphi_2) = G(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \circ G_{E_1, E_2}$, so G_{E_1, E_2} is uniquely determined. To prove existence, place F in an adjoint equivalence $(F, R, \varepsilon, \eta)$ which, by doctrinal adjunction, may be viewed as a monoidal adjunction. We have a natural isomorphism $G\varepsilon: HR = GFR \xrightarrow{\cong} G$. There is a unique monoidal structure on G making $G\varepsilon$ a monoidal transformation (monoidal structures on functors may be uniquely transported along natural isomorphisms). The composition of a monoidal transformation with a monoidal functor is again monoidal. Therefore $H\eta: H \rightarrow HRF$, $G\varepsilon F: HRF \rightarrow GF$ are monoidal transformations which compose to the identity, by the zig-zag identities for $(F, R, \varepsilon, \eta)$. But this just means $H = GF$ as monoidal functors. \square

A.1. Proof of Proposition 8. We prove only (i) since (ii) follows by a dual argument. Placing F in an adjoint equivalence $(F, R, \varepsilon, \eta)$, Lemma 26 gives us two monoidal structures on G satisfying $G^\oplus \circ F^\oplus = H^\oplus$ and $G^\ominus \circ F^\ominus = H^\ominus$. Since H, F preserve ζ , we have (omitting the vertical maps in (6) from the notation)

$$\zeta_{HA_1, HA_2, HA_3, HA_4}^{\mathcal{E}} = H\zeta_{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4}^{\mathcal{E}} = GF(\zeta_{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4}^{\mathcal{E}}) = G(\zeta_{FA_1, FA_2, FA_3, FA_4}^{\mathcal{D}}).$$

Hence G preserves the interchange on the image of F . In general, we may pick isomorphisms $X_i \cong FA_i$ (F is essentially surjective). Naturality of the interchange then implies that G preserves $\zeta_{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4}^{\mathcal{D}}$. Hence G is 2-monoidal. \square

We will use the following well-known fact (see [10, Appendix A]):

Proposition 27. *Let $(F, G, \varepsilon, \eta)$ be an adjoint equivalence from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} and assume that \mathcal{D} is a monoidal category. Then there exists a monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} making $(F, G, \varepsilon, \eta)$ a monoidal adjoint equivalence.*

(for example, one can set $C_1 \otimes C_2 = G(FC_1 \otimes FC_2)$, $I_{\mathcal{C}} = GI_{\mathcal{D}}$.)

Applied to both monoidal structures of a 2-monoidal category \mathcal{D} , we obtain two monoidal adjunctions $(F^{\oplus}, G^{\oplus}, \varepsilon, \eta)$ and $(F^{\ominus}, G^{\ominus}, \varepsilon, \eta)$. As (6) is a diagram of isomorphisms and F is bijective on Hom-sets, there is a unique interchange $\zeta^{\mathcal{C}}$ making F a 2-monoidal functor. The verification of the diagrams (5) expressing the compatibility of $\zeta^{\mathcal{C}}$ with associativity and unit constraints can, F being faithful, be reduced to the corresponding properties of $\zeta^{\mathcal{D}}$.

A.2. Proof of Proposition 9. Placing each of the equivalences $F_i: \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$ into an adjoint equivalence $(F_i, G_i, \varepsilon_i, \eta_i)$ [7, Proposition 1.1.2], the preceding remark gives 2-monoidal structures $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i$ on \mathcal{C}_i and \hat{F}_i on every F_i . It remains to define 2-monoidal structures on every $\mathcal{C}(f): \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_j$ for morphisms $f: i \rightarrow j$ in \mathcal{I} . The naturality of \hat{F}_i means that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_i & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(f)} & \hat{\mathcal{C}}_j \\ \hat{F}_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{F}_j \\ \mathcal{D}_i & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(f)} & \mathcal{D}_j \end{array}$$

should commute as a diagram in 2Mon. Proposition 8 implies that there is a unique such 2-monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}(f)$. Uniqueness implies functoriality. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Marcelo Aguiar and Swapneel Mahajan. *Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras*, volume 29 of *CRM Monograph Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. With forewords by Kenneth Brown and Stephen Chase and André Joyal.
- [2] Albrecht Dold. Relations between ordinary and extraordinary cohomology. *Colloq. algebr. Topology, Aarhus*, pages 2–9, 1962.
- [3] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. Reprint of the 1999 edition [MR1711612].
- [4] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer. Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory. *J. Differential Geom.*, 70(3):329–452, 2005.
- [5] André Joyal and Ross Street. Braided tensor categories. *Adv. Math.*, 102(1):20–78, 1993.
- [6] G. M. Kelly. Doctrinal adjunction. In *Category Seminar (Proc. Sem., Sydney, 1972/1973)*, pages 257–280. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 420. Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- [7] Tom Leinster. *Higher operads, higher categories*, volume 298 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [8] Jacob Lurie. Stable Infinity Categories. *arXiv preprint math.CT/0608228*, 2007.
- [9] Markus Upmeyer. Products in generalized differential cohomology. 12 2011.
- [10] Markus Upmeyer. *Algebraic Structure and Integration in Generalized Differential Cohomology*. PhD thesis, Georg-August Universität Göttingen, 2013.